## VAIKHĀNASA AND THE PĀNCARĀTRA

In Śri Rāmānuja Philosophy of devotion and worship in the temples there is a fusion of the two above mentioned schools of though or work. Śri Venkatanātha has defended their aikvasāstra character in his Pāncarātra taksa. Though their aikasāsrtra character in his Pāncarātra taksa. Thoug vaikhānasa I Vaidika, holding allegiance to the Veda and its rituals, the Pancaratra theory is said to be tantrik: thus the fromer is aid to be mantirka and the lattrer tantrika, though Tantras have their own mantras in fact. The fomer demanda adhikara for Vaidika siksa, such as birth as a twice born and upanayana and other samskaras prescribed in the grhya and kalpa, but thepa tantra demands diksa such as tapah (branding of conch and discus), pundra, wearing of the caste makr, getting a name and initation in a mantra, and the performance of tyaga, which is of the nature of surrender. The vaikhānasa is claied to be Sauryam – solar, whilst the Pancaratra is said to be Agneyam – of fire. The Vaikhanasa agama holds the world and other - world as the aims (purusārtha), whereas the Pancarātra holds that Moksa alone is the goal of man and all practive. Vaikhānās worshipped God Visnu in towns and villages, though the temple at Tirumali sesi on the mounta, whereas the Pancaratra had their temples on the banks of rivers and mountain tops. According to Vaikhānasa Visnu is the Ādi Purusa, or the Ultimate deity, and his forms (beras) are Satya, Acyuta, and Aniluddha, whereas in Pancaratra Vāsudeva in the Higest Purusa and All, and Sankarsana, Pradhyāumna and Aniruddha are his forms. As it was pointed out VAikhānasa is intended for dvijās and Pāncarātra is for all.

The founder of the VAikhānasa school is Vikhānās and the system is promulgated by Marichi, Brgu, Atri, Kasyapa. The Pāncarātra is said to base been promulgated by Vāsudeva Himself and Śri Kṛṣṇa has been haild as the founder of the same. The general theory and philosophy is strictly developed by

Śrĩ Rāmānuja. God is the Supreme Omni pervading personality who has the souls and Nature as His body. These are His bodies and he is there self. He is transcendent to all there imperfections whereas, He is also their self, and possesses infinite auspicious qualities or perfections. By realizing themselves more and mole fully as His body, they attain perfection and freedom. By worship of God through self-surrender and prayer which is real offering, one attains the Bliss state of God. Souls pass from the sate of ignorance and bondage to the state of freedom from both of them and attain finally the free state of eternal freedom from births and bondage. The souls and nature are created by God but are eternal and only pass from the sate of subtleness to grossness and also return from grossness to sublet conditions till the soul attains freedom in God. The highest state is the state of nearness and bliss – equality.

Vaikhānasa developed the theory of pancabera or five iconic forms to stand for the Divine Puruṣa. thus theologically there are five statuses of god, such as the eternal unchanging or fixed (dhruva) transcendently poise of the infinite. This is called the Para, the transience. Corresponding to it the Vaikhānasa have an Icon – which is the Mūla – or the root. This is a however said to be Niṣkala, without parts, and its significance as in Yajnā which is also important is that of the Garhapatya (the icon of the House or the Central place – called in the temple garbhagriha – he originating or birth – place) or the womb.

The second form in the theology is the Cosmic form. In the Vedānta he may be said to be the creator – sustainer and destroyer, cause of all the worlds (janmadyasaya yatah). Corresponding icon in the temple is the Ugra – (the dynamic power aspect). Śrĩ Kṛṣṇa refers to this obviously in his Visvarūpa whch Arjuna called the Ugra – rūpa.

The third from in the Theology is the Historical form. In the Vaikhānasa this from of the icon is known as the Utsavgamūti or bear. He is the person who gets all worship and goes out of the temple and all functions, rides all vāhanās, an in fact He is the deity that officiates for the Transcendent in the world. He is

similar to the Avatāra who has descended to the earth to meet and teach and uplift the people by resorting dharma – and the going round of the idol through the streets or even to outlying villages if or the purpose of demonstrating accessibility of God to one and all. Those who cannot go into a temple for whatever reason can have the grace of God and his beneficent gaze (darṣaṇa). He is daksināgni.

The fourth form of God theologically is the Inner Ruler Immortal – the inward Ruler, antaryāmi seated in the heart is the most pow4rful Godhead – the constant companion of the soul who is the one of the twin suparnas seated on the same tree so to speak – companion in all things and under all conditions inseparable form oneself. The whole history of man's evolution is dependent on the awakened descent of the Divine within oneself and taking up the reins of being, one becomes just a sākṣl (seer of the doings of God). He is the bali-the strength or the power within the soul that has sough and found refuge in God. The corresponding icon is the Kautka-the corresponding fire is the ahavanı̃ya – it is to this God-icon that the daily offering is made – nityārchana and all decorations within. He never leaves the precincts of the temple and is connected with the Dhruva or Mulabera with a thread to show their inseparability. The inward Ruler is indeed the All Ruler and the Transcedent. The link between them is absolute. All descent of the transcendent is governed by this inward connection – sutre-maniganairiva.

The enjoyer of the Lilā of the Universe is God, He is also the object of all enjoyers – the most beautiful Golden or silver from in the Śri Venkaţesvara's Vaikhānasa shrine – he is called the Bhoga – Icon or mūrti. The Lrod is in this form the Lord who moves in sleep to all the devotees and makes for their ānanda.

The Vaikhānasa concept of the Five Bearas or mūrtis of the One Divine really has a significant philosophical and theological meaning and shows how the needs of all levels of beloved devotees is sought to be served – the mystic with

his para, the philosopher with the First Cause and Ground, the King who seeks the establishment of law that is love and showering equality and justice to all, the inward yogi who seeks to unit Him with himself in all planes of being and Longs for the supreme entry into God Himself, and lastly the seeker of the physic-spiritual beauty of God in Nature and matter within the temple. And all are necessary for a total enjoyment and realisation. Indeed all these are four forms of the One and to see difference between them in essence is not only a mistake but also a pathway to mortality – that is to say a cause of rebirth in order to gather up this knowledge that is integral.

From what has been shown it is clear that the Vaikhānasas though they say the are śaurya or solar are really as in the forest temples, worshippers of the Fivefold Fire of the Yajnā. In fact the Divine is represented by the theory of five fold agni - pancāgnikalpa — not the pancāgnividya of the Upaniṣads but the pancāgnividya of the Brāhmaṇās of th eVAikhānasas.

I have argued in another paper taking the issue further. The Pāncarātra is not pancāgni but pancasoma or Upaniṣads Prasnopaniṣad I gives the equation clearly. Śrī Pāncarātra is significant. The solar births of Śrī Rāma of Ayodhya is again representative of the five ādityas or five has (daytimes). This too is shown by the equations of the identified. They are appropriately the Bhrigu, Cyavana, Apnuvana, Aurva, jāmadagni who are the fires of the transcendent, cosmic, hoistorical, inner antaryāmi and arcā nature, though we might identify them with the Rṣis who seem to have discovered these firs and made use of them for spiritual integration and immortality and built the fire – altar- the perishable that makes for the imperishable and the immortal. The Paraṣu Rām or Purṣa – Rām seems to be the Puruṣa of the Vaikhānasas – the transcendent, the divne incarnation of the Fire. So the Lord of the Gītā syas: Yad ādityagato tejas jagatbhāsayate akhilam yat candramāsi yaccāgnau tat tejo viddhi Mamakam. The three Rāmās are indeed from the Puruṣottama – the Vāsudeva – the Praiāpati – the braāhmana of the Upanisads and the Purusottama of the Gītā.

They are descents from that high for the restoration of dharma in the Universe, the first the brāhmaṇa dharma, the second the kṣatriay dharma and the third the vasya dharma mainly but in the complex integrality of all, the tree dharmas are one and each leads to the restoration of real functions according to guṇa and karma of each individual. We can see the dharma sankara or mixing up or confusion of dharma owing to promiscuity in functions and etc or lack of social discipline all of which had to be resorted to society.

Every avatar is an agent of restoring the 'Open Society' and grants the sense of freedom and meaning to every individual in the Universe – a freedom that is total or integral embracing every level of life and being, every walk of life and is something that restores to each and every individual the mature movement that belongs to the self as a free individual in though, word and need, but a freedom that has discover that secret by which it can never be lot again or et closed up or shut up in itself considering itself to be free because shut up in its won being losing all movement and growth and vastness.

Temple Culture centers round this free living andwas a model for spiritual living in self – discipline and cooperation in the City itself. However it is clear that even the most spiritual extends towards the material and being material it gathers grossness which demands sublimation and spiritualization through continuous vigilance.

The continuous vigilance is the spiritual activity of the indwelling antaryāmi and the Historical Avatār.

The Bhagavat Gitā gives us an epitome of this view.

П

THE THREE PURUSAS OF THE GĨTĀ

HAVE THEY ANY RELATION TO THE

VYŪHAS OF THE PĀNCARĀTRA AND THE VAIKHĀNASA

The Gitā speaks (XV) of the triple purusas, namely the ksara, the Aksara and the Purusottama who is different from both and the Highest.

kṣara is the Lord in the world of Nature (prakṛit) (aparaprakṛit), its presiding deity so to speak. Of course some try to read this as the transmigrating soul or jīva, but it is better to take it is referring to the Divine who is presiding over the temporal Process so very inherent in the mutable Nature. Himself immutable the directs and guides and is he inner ruler immortal in all the creatures; Īśvaraḥ sarvabhūtanam hṛiddese tistthati bhramayān sarva bhūtant:

The Akṣara puruṣa is one who is transcendent and is of the immutable changeless world paraprakrit beyond space and time were the freed souls go to and worship that aparakrit form.

Though these tow called pursas, the higher than these two is the Purusottama, the Supreme Self of both Nature (lower) and the souls (higher) and the eternal world (higher nature).

The Vedic Seer has sung about the Puruṣa in the Puruṣa sūkta. The Supreme Being who is the cause of all the worlds, from whom all sprung. The concept of the Kṣara is the implicit in this as well as the Akṣara whom the celestials have contantly sung about – the eternal Bing. Ultimately the Unborn is said to have taken the form of the changing and th born the pose of the Eternal is the temporal, and in both He is the Lord. But transcendent stat is also intimated. Thus the three purṣas of the Bhagavat Gitā reflect the original conception of the Puruṣa of he Veda

The Pāncarātra Āgama conceives of the Divine in the fourfold forms vyūhas, as Vāsudeva, Sankarṣaṇa, Pradhyumna and Aniruddha. The Vaikhānasa describes the Divine as Fourfold including the Highest form (Viṣṇu) Purusa, Acyuta, Satya and Aniruddha. The inclusion of Puruṣa as the first form of Viṣṇu is to show the identity with the Vedic Puruṣa. therefore the three Puruṣas

of the Gitā can be brought into line with the Puruṣa, Satya Acyuta and Aniruddha. Acyuta and Satya correspond to the Akṣara puruṣa whereas Aniruddha corresponds to the Kṣara puruṣa who is the inner ruler immortal in both the sentient and insentient Nature.

In this context it becomes necessary to point out that the derivation of purusa from purisa is valid only because the real ruler of the sentient and the insentient is the Lord (not the individual soul) who is the sarva śarĩrĩ of whom all (including jĩvas) are śarĩra.

It is perhaps with the idea in view that the Great Rāmānuja also speaks of the Person spplekn of in the Vedaśiras – the Purusa sūkta and not as usually considered Upanisads – as the Śrĩnivāsa in the opening verse of the Śrĩbhāsya. (Cf. Purusasūkta – bhāasya ed and trans. By V. Krishnamacharya)

It is not possible to accept the view that the Upanisads which are the Vedanta are also the Vedaśiras. The Upanisads deal with the Vidyas leading to the knowledge and revelation of knower of the Brahman. It is of course trut that the Supreme Brahman is the object of all teaching and instruction by the Rsis. But the Purusa sūkta has the right to be considered as the Vedśiras – the head of the Veda by which the Supreme Purusa Brahman – is being praised by all the gods in all the Vedas. It is therefore to this supreme Hymn the word or appellation of Śiras could be aptly applied. Therefore the Śrī Bhāsya verse refers to this Purusa sūkta as the Vedairas which contains the fullest description of the Supreme as the person supreme being described illuminatingly as Śrīvāsa, the being who is the abode of the śreyas, Śrī.

The three vyūhas of the Divine reveal the integral oneness of the three poises of the Divine as transcendent Self as the Self who supports the eternal world and the Seldc was supports guides and rules the temporal world of change. It is because of this oneness continuing in a the poises of the Divine infinities there is this experience of Sarvam khalvidam Brahma – all is indwelt by

the Lord and the Lord is the Lord of the there and hereafter and eternity and Reality.